

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/846,781	09/846,781 05/02/2001		Steven J. Hulai	92509-3	4596		
22463	7590	06/29/2006		EXAMINER			
SMART A			LE, DEBBIE M				
438 UNIVE SUITE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
TORONTO			2168				
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No	Applicant(s)				
				HULAI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	09/846,78						
	Cincorionen Cumuna,	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	DEBBIE N		2168	ross			
Period fo		ii appears on the	tover sheet was are t	orrespondence addi	- C33 - C			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on. Deriod will apply and wistatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on this action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is not lowance except	for formal matters, pro		nerits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction a  ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Example drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of the short of the s	nd/or election reminer. I accepted or b) the drawing(s) to	equirement.  objected to by the leading abeging the leading abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTC	)-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)	et(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Slar No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/846,781

Art Unit: 2168

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on April 11, 2006. Claims 1-16 are pending for examinations.

### Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract is objected because it contains legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided (see Applicants's abstract, for example, line 10, respectively).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul (US Patent 7,051,080 B1) in view of Owen et al (US Patent Application Publication no. 2005/0014494 A1).

As per claim 1, Paul discloses a method of presenting data from an application executing at a computing device at a remote wireless device, comprising:

receiving at said wireless device, a representation of a text file defining (as XML document, col. 28, lines 33-40):

a format of a user interface for the application at said wireless device (col. 6, lines 53-67, col. 7, lines 1-10);

a format for storing data related to said application at said wireless device (col. 10, lines 10-35);

receiving data from said application in accordance with said format of network transactions, and presenting said data at said wireless device using said user interface (col. 2, lines 43-64, col. 8, lines 43-62).

Paul does not explicitly teach a representation of a text file defining: a format of network messages for exchange of data generated by said application. However, Owen

Art Unit: 2168

discloses a representation of a text file defining: a format of network messages for exchange of data generated by said application (as receiving a processed XML) document from data server, and processing (i.e., parsing) XML documents on a wireless mobile device, wherein determining whether the XML document is associated with a referenced document definition, a code book is stored in a code book cache, if not, requesting the code book from the data server (pargs. 0012, 0017, 0078). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill on the art at the time invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references to provide a representation of a text file defining a format of network messages for exchange of data as disclosed by Owen, because it enables Wang's system easily to remain a need for universal XML support on mobile communication devices which is not restricted to any particular encoding scheme sot that XML enabled applications are independent of a particular XML type and its encoding scheme. Furthermore, Owen's system would also provide a need for supporting XML on mobile devices which support new XML document types without need to change the software code on the devices.

As per claim 2, Paul teaches wherein said text file is received at said device and wherein said text file is an XML file (col. 28, lines 32-40).

As per claim 3, Paul teaches wherein said text file is parsed, and a representation of said text file is stored at said device (col. 9, lines 33-35, col. 10, lines 10-35).

As per claim 4, Paul teaches storing data generated by said

Application/Control Number: 09/846,781

Art Unit: 2168

application at said wireless device using said format for storing data (col. 10, lines 10-35).

As per claim 5, Paul teaches the text file defines screens, events arising in response to interaction with said screens, and actions for processing said events (col. 2, lines 38-62).

As per claim 6, Owen teaches wherein said format of network messages comprises XML definitions for said network messages, and wherein data for said application are dispatched from said mobile device using said XML definitions ((col. 14, lines 20-40).

As per claim 7, Paul discloses a wireless mobile device (Fig. 1, # 101) comprising

a processor, computer readable memory in communication with said processor, storing virtual machine software controlling operation of said device (Fig. 1, # 110) said virtual machine software comprising:

a parser for receiving a text file (Fig. 1, # 112, col. 9, lines 32-35);

a screen generation engine, for presenting at least one screen at said device in accordance with said text file (col. 6, lines 63-67, col. 7, lines 1-10);

an event handler for processing events arising in response to interaction with said at least one screen in accordance with said text file (col. 6, lines 41-60);

object classes corresponding to actions to be taken by said in response to interaction with said at least one screen (col. 10, lines 51-67);

Application/Control Number: 09/846,781

Art Unit: 2168

an object class corresponding to a data table for storing data at said wireless mobile device (col. 10, lines 8-35);

an object class corresponding to a network message to be received or transmitted by said wireless mobile device (col. 28, lines 42-62).

As per claim 8, Paul teaches wherein said memory further stores a representation of said text file (col. 11, lines 52-65).

As per claim 9, Paul teaches wherein said representation of said text file is created by said parser (col. 8, lines 23-35).

As per claim 10, Paul teaches wherein said parser comprises an XML parser (col. 9, lines 33-35).

As per claim 11, Paul teaches wherein said object classes corresponding to action to be taken comprise object classes that present screen elements at said device (col. 2, lines 60-64, col. 11, lines 12-48).

As per claim 12, Paul teaches object classes enabling exchange of data between said wireless device and a computing device over a network, wherein said data is formatted in accordance with definitions within said text file (col. 14, lines 20-40).

Claim 13 is rejected by the same rationale as state in independent claim 1 arguments.

Claims 14-16 have similar limitations as claims 2-6; therefore, they are rejected under the same subject matter.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed on 4/11/06, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Paul (US Patent 7,051,00 B1) in view of Owen et al (US Patent Application Publication no. 2005/0014494 A1).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBBIE M. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4111. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached on (571) 272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,781 Page 8

Art Unit: 2168

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

DEBBIE LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 25, 2006